CORRES CONTROL OUTGOING LTR NO DOE ORDER # 94 RF03062 DIST ENC AMARAL, ME BERMAN, H S BRANCH, DB CARNIVAL, G J COPP, R D DAVIS, J G FERRERA, DW HANNI, B J HARMAN, L.K HEALY, T.J HEDAHL, T H LBIG, J G HUTCHINS, N M K'LL. R E KIRBY, W A KUESTER, A W MAHAFFEEY, J W MANN, HP MARX, GE M-DONALD, M M McKENNA, F G MONTROSE, J K MORGAN, R V POTTER, GL P'72, TO, V M RISING T L SANDLIN, N B STLOCK, GH STEWART DL STICFR, S G S' LIVAN MT

SWANSON, ER

WILKINSON, R B W" SON, J M WYANT, R D

HOUR

8U58Y

TST 30G

U CLASS TIED C^ TIDENTIAL

ecord/080 Correspond no

on no X X

2°

EGRG ROCKY FLATS

G&G ROCKY FLATS INC ROCKY FLATS PLANT P O BOX 464 GOLDEN COLORADO 80402 0464 (303) 966 7000

March 15 1994

94 RF 03062

Fraser R Lockhart **Environmental Restoration Division** DOE/RFO

OPERABLE UNIT (OU) 1 EXTENSION REQUEST - WSB 035 94

In response to the Department of Energy/Rocky Flats Office (DOE/RFO) request for an extension of Interagency (IAG) milestones the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Colorado Department of Health (CDH) wrote a letter to RFO which partially grants this extension However in their response the agencies have eliminated EG&G Rocky Flats Inc. and RFO review cycles and have substituted concurrent DOE/EPA/CDH reviews ınstead

EG&G disagrees with the returned schedule. It would not be prudent to eliminate internal review times Documents concerning OU 1 reviewed in this manner in the past have shown that this can lead to errors and inconsistencies Flaws such as these erode the veracity of a report and it is strongly recommended that DOE and its contractors work harder to improve the quality of its reports 1 Furthermore the Quality Audit Team also agrees that DOE/contractor internal reviews are necessary

It is not realistic on the part of the agencies to assume that the technical guidance provided by DOE and EG&G is automatically incorporated into reports DOE and EG&G must review work to ensure that the technical guidance is incorporated and that the work is technically accurate and complete

As always we are willing to openly discuss schedule assumptions in general and where possible reduce review time. If there are ways to streamline the process without sacrificing the quality of the Draft and Final deliverables we would welcome them

Please call with any questions or concerns

al Parmon for WSB

Wanda S Busby Director Remediation Project Management Environmental Restoration Management

RZH _Ilm

Orig and 1 cc - F R Lockhart

T Reeves - DOE/RFO

UTHORIZED CLASSIF'FR

CLASSIFICATION

IN REPLY TO REPICE NO

ACTION ITEM STATUS

CONATOR & TYPIST INTIALS

1US EPA Region VIII OU 1 Final Phase III RFI/RI Report Nov 1993 General Comments January 12 1994